



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/347,523	07/06/1999	YOSHIYUKI GOMI	103229	3978
25944	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			NGUYEN,	DUNG T
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/347,523	GOMI, YOSHIYUKI					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dung Nguyen	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this appl : (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tin	ication. A proper reply to a lich places the application in					
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in tool the result of the mailing date. AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amount of the data that the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 c	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,8,10 and 11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a							
_							
10. Other:		Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims do not define over the art of record. Applicant's responses have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

DUNGT. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER